



FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF STATE  
Division of Corporations

February 6, 2023

TOUSSAINT M. CUNMMINGS, ESQ  
135 SAN LORENZO AVENUE, SUITE 770,  
CORAL GABLES, Florida, 33146

Pursuant to Chapter 48.062, 48.181 Florida Statutes, substitute service of process was accepted for SELENIS TECHNOLOGIES LLC in case number 22-CV-22626 and was filed on January 26, 2023 at 4:00 PM.

Plaintiff(s)  
ROCKY RAFAEL FERNANDEZ NAVARRO

v.

Defendant(s)  
SELENIS TECHNOLOGIES LLC, VALERIO CERRON, AND UWE CERRON

The Secretary of State does not forward documentation to the defendant. All inquiries on behalf of this defendant should be made to the attorneys involved.

Bradley J. Beech  
Processor of Service

Division of Corporations  
P.O. Box 6327, Tallahassee, Florida 32314

Letter No. 230206010SOP.01

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 1:22-CV-22626

ROCKY RAFAEL FERNANDEZ NAVARRO,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SELENIS TECHNOLOGIES LLC,  
VALERIO CERRON, AND UWE CERRON,

Defendants.

**ALIAS SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION  
PURSUANT TO FLA. STAT. §48.191**

TO: SELENIS TECHNOLOGIES LLC  
c/o SECRETARY OF STATE  
P.O. BOX 6327  
TALLAHASSEE, FL 32314

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Toussaint M. Cummings, Esq.  
FairLaw Firm  
135 San Lorenzo Avenue  
Suite 770  
Coral Gables, FL 33146  
Tel: (305) 230-4884  
toussaint@fairlawattorney.com

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

Date: Jan 23, 2023



Angela E. Noble  
Clerk of Court

**SUMMONS**

s/ S. Carlson

Deputy Clerk  
U.S. District Courts

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 1:22-CV-22626

ROCKY RAFAEL FERNANDEZ NAVARRO,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SELENIS TECHNOLOGIES LLC,  
VALERIO CERRON, AND  
UWE CERRON,

Defendants.

**AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, Rocky Rafael Fernandez Navarro, sues Defendants, Selenis Technologies LLC, Valerio Cerron, and Uwe Cerron, as follows:

***Parties, Jurisdiction, and Venue***

1. **Plaintiff, Rocky Rafael Fernandez Navarro**, is over 18 years old and has been a *sui juris* resident of Miami-Dade County, Florida, at all times material.
2. **Defendant, Selenis Technologies LLC**, is a *sui juris* Florida for-profit limited liability company that was authorized to conduct and conducted its for-profit business in Miami-Dade County, Florida, at all times material, where it maintains its principal place of business.
3. **Defendant, Valerio Cerron**, was at all times material an owner/officer/director/manager of the corporate Defendant, for the time period relevant to this lawsuit. He ran its day-to-day operations, was responsible for all operational decisions, was partially or totally responsible for paying Plaintiff's wages.
4. **Defendant, Uwe Cerron**, was at all times material an

owner/officer/director/manager of the corporate Defendant, for the time period relevant to this lawsuit. He also ran its day-to-day operations, was responsible for all operational decisions, was partially or totally responsible for paying Plaintiff's wages.

5. This Court has original jurisdiction over Plaintiff's federal question claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331, 26 U.S.C. §201, *et seq.*, and pendent/supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's related Florida state law claims.

6. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(ii) because Defendants transact business in this District, because Defendants maintained their principal places of business in this District, because Plaintiff worked and was due to be paid in this District, and because most if not all of the operational decisions were made in this District.

7. Any/all condition(s) precedent to filing this lawsuit occurred and/or was satisfied by Plaintiff.

8. Plaintiff retained the undersigned counsel and agreed to pay a reasonable fee for all services rendered.

### ***Service Attempts***

1. The Clerk of Court issued separate summonses as to all three Defendants on August 18, 2022. [ECF No. 3].

2. Plaintiff then provided a copy of the Summonses and Complaint to its process server.

3. Florida's Division of Corporations lists 30310 SW 152<sup>nd</sup> Avenue, Homestead, FL 33303 as the *mailing* address for Defendant, Selenis Technologies, LLC ("Selenis").

4. Selenis also lists Valerio Cerron as its registered agent at the 30310 SW 152<sup>nd</sup> Avenue mailing address.

5. Plaintiff's process server made attempts to serve Selenis at its 30310 SW 152 Avenue mailing address on October 15, 2022, October 22, 2022, October 29, 2022, November 8, 2022, and November 10, 2022, but was unable to serve anybody because it is a residential house and there was no callbox or access to the front door due to a locked gate.

6. Florida's Division of Corporations lists 30330 SW 152<sup>nd</sup> Avenue, Homestead, FL 33303 as the *principal* address for Defendant, Selenis Technologies, LLC ("Selenis").

7. Plaintiff's process server attempted to serve Selenis at its 30330 SW 152 Avenue principal address on November 19, 2022, and was informed by a person named Daisy Torres that she was the resident of the home and did not know who Valerio Cerron was.

8. Undersigned counsel was also in contact with an attorney who did not file a notice of appearance in this case, but attempted to resolve the litigation on behalf of the Defendants.

9. Resolution of the case did not occur, and the aforementioned attorney did not accept waivers of service for the Defendants or provide better addresses for them after requests for both were made by Plaintiff.

10. Plaintiff has unsuccessfully tried to serve process on Selenis's designated registered agent, Valerio Cerron, in compliance with Fla. Stat. §48.062(1).

11. Valerio Cerron is the only manager of Selenis listed with Florida's Division of Corporations, and Plaintiff attempted to serve him in compliance with Fla. Stat. §48.062(2).

12. Plaintiff believes that individual Defendant, Uwe Cerron, is a Selenis employee and knows how to contact registered agent and sole manager, Valerio Cerron.

13. Plaintiff's process server attempted service on Defendant, Uwe Cerron, on August 22, 2022, and August 24, 2022 at the address listed for him on the Broward County Property Appraiser, but with no success.

14. Selenis failed to comply with the requirements of Fla. Stat. §605.0114 by not filing a statement of change of its street address of its current registered agent or its principal address.

15. Selenis is concealing its whereabouts, and substitute service is now proper pursuant to Fla. Stat. §§ 48.062 and 48.181.

**COUNT I – FLSA MINIMUM WAGE VIOLATION(S)**

Plaintiff, Rocky Rafael Fernandez Navarro, reincorporates and re-alleges all preceding paragraphs as though set forth fully herein and further alleges as follows:

9. Defendants were Plaintiff's direct employers, joint employers and co-employers for purposes of the FLSA, as the term "employer" is defined by 29 U.S.C. §203(d).

10. Defendants regularly employed two or more employees for the relevant time period that handled goods or materials that travelled through interstate commerce, or used instrumentalities of interstate commerce, thus making Defendants' business an enterprise covered by the Fair Labor Standards Act.

11. Defendants have been, at all times material, an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce in the course of their construction of pools by marketing, sales, promotion, and engaging in contracting services which, traditionally, cannot be performed without using goods, metals, materials, supplies, and equipment that have all moved through interstate commerce.

12. In particular, Defendants own and operate a pool contracting business in Miami-Dade County in which they regularly and routinely using heavy equipment, machinery, hand tools, piping, fluids, adhesives, lubricants, machinery, telephones, computers, computer software, telephones, telephone equipment, goods and materials that also have moved through interstate commerce, and other materials and supplies that were previously placed in the stream of commerce

from outside of the State of Florida before being received in this District to engage in interstate commerce.

13. Defendants also communicate with their workers and with others by regularly and routinely using telephones and transmitting information through email and the Internet, and which transmissions regularly and routinely traveled outside of the State of Florida.

14. Defendants engage in interstate commerce in the course of their submission of billings and receipt of payment involving out-of-state payors, customers, and/or vendors.

15. Defendant's corporate annual gross revenues derived from this interstate commerce are believed to be in excess of \$500,000.00 for the relevant time period and/or exceeded \$125,000.00 for each relevant fiscal quarter.

16. Plaintiff was employee of Defendants, as the term "employee" is defined by 29 U.S.C. §203(e).

17. Plaintiff was a non-exempt employee of Defendants.

18. Plaintiff consents to participate in this lawsuit.

19. Plaintiff worked for Defendants as an "estimator" from approximately November 15, 2018, to the last week of April 2022.

20. Plaintiff's job duties involved going out to properties to estimate the cost of construction by using the information.

21. Plaintiff worked for Defendants, Selenis Technologies LLC, Valerio Cerron, and Uwe Cerron, from about November 15, 2018, to the last week of April 2022.

22. To the extent that records exist regarding the exact dates of Plaintiff's employment exist, such records are believed to be in the exclusive custody of Defendants.

23. Defendants controlled all job-related activities of Plaintiff.



24. Defendants coordinated all job-related activities of Plaintiff.

25. Defendants supervised Plaintiff's work.

26. Plaintiff had no discretion in his work.

27. Plaintiff did not use his independent judgment in his work.

28. Plaintiff's work for Defendants was actually in or so closely related to the movement of commerce while he worked for Defendants that the Fair Labor Standards Act applies to Plaintiff's work for Defendants in the course of his regularly and routinely placing telephone calls and exchanging electronic information over the internet.

29. Defendants failed and refused to pay Plaintiff even a minimum wage of \$7.25 per hour for each of the hours that he worked by failing to timely pay him for the hours that he worked for Defendants from mid-February 2020 to March 17, 2020.

30. Defendants also failed and refused to pay Plaintiff even a minimum wage of \$7.25 per hour for each of the hours that he worked by failing to timely pay him at least a minimum wage for the hours that he worked for Defendants during his last six months of work.

31. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violations of the FLSA, as set forth above, Plaintiff is entitled to payment of the applicable minimum wage for all unpaid hours worked.

32. Defendants either recklessly failed to investigate whether their failure to pay Plaintiff at least a minimum wage for the hours Plaintiff worked during the relevant time period violated the Federal Wage Laws of the United States, they intentionally misled Plaintiff to believe that Defendants were not required to pay at least the minimum wage, and/or Defendants concocted a scheme pursuant to which they deprived Plaintiff the minimum wage pay earned.

33. Plaintiff is entitled to a backpay award of minimum wages for all unpaid/underpaid hours worked, plus an equal amount as a liquidated damages, plus all attorneys' fees and costs.



WHEREFORE Plaintiff, Rocky Rafael Fernandez Navarro, demands the entry of a judgment in Plaintiff's favor and against Defendants, Selenis Technologies LLC, Valerio Cerron, and Uwe Cerron, jointly and severally, after trial by jury and as follows:

- a. That Plaintiff recover compensatory minimum wage damages and an equal amount of liquidated damages as provided under the law and in 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- b. That Plaintiff recover pre-judgment interest on all unpaid minimum wages if the Court does not award liquidated damages;
- c. That Plaintiff recover an award of reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses pursuant to the FLSA;
- d. That Plaintiff recover all interest allowed by law;
- e. That Defendants be Ordered to make Plaintiff whole by providing appropriate minimum wage pay and other benefits wrongly denied in an amount to be shown at trial and other affirmative relief;
- f. That the Court declare Defendants to be in willful violation of the overtime provisions of the FLSA; and
- g. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

**COUNT II – BREACH OF CONTRACT**  
**(Against Selenis Technologies LLC)**

Plaintiff, Rocky Rafael Fernandez Navarro, reincorporates and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 8 as though set forth fully herein and further alleges as follows:

34. Through this claim, Plaintiff seeks recover of the “pure gap time” wages that he worked and earned, but did not receive.<sup>1</sup> See e.g., *Jernigan v. 1st Stop Recovery, Inc.*, 2017 WL 3682332 (M.D. Fla. Aug. 25, 2017) (citing *Davis v. Abington Memorial Hosp.*, 765 F.3d 236, 244 (3d Cir. 2014); *Botting v. Goldstein*, 2015 WL 10324134 (S.D. Fla. Dec. 21, 2015); *Lundy v. Catholic Health Sys. of Long Island, Inc.*, 711 F.3d 106 (2d Cir. 2013); and *Monahan v. Cty. Of Chesterfield, Va.*, 95 F.3d 1263, 1280 (4th Cir. 1996).)

35. Plaintiff and Defendant, Selenis Technologies LLC, agreed that in exchange for Plaintiff expending time and effort on its behalf, it would compensate him at a rate of \$650.00 for each week that worked for them.

36. Plaintiff performed under the parties’ contract/agreement by performing work for Defendant, Selenis Technologies LLC, as aforesaid.

37. Defendant, Selenis Technologies LLC, however, failed and refused to perform its obligation to timely pay Plaintiff at the rate of \$650.00 for each week of work performed for it for approximately the last 28 weeks he worked for it.

38. Plaintiff has been damaged as a result of this Defendant’s failure to pay for the work performed during the approximately the last 28 weeks that he worked for it in a timely manner.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff, Rocky Fernandez, demands the entry of a judgment in his favor and against Defendant, Selenis Technologies LLC, after trial by jury, for all breach of contract damages suffered, plus pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, costs and attorneys’ fees incurred

---

<sup>1</sup> *Thrower v. Peach County, Georgia, Bd. of Educ.*, 2010 WL 4536997, at \*4 (M.D. Ga. Nov. 2, 2010) (“In the wage and hour world, this time between scheduled hours and overtime hours is known as ‘pure gap time’.”)

in this matter pursuant to Fla. Stat. §448.08, and to award such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

**COUNT IV – UNJUST ENRICHMENT**  
**(Against Selenis Technologies LLC)**

Plaintiff, Rocky Rafael Fernandez Navarro, reincorporates and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 8 as though set forth fully herein and further alleges as follows:

39. Through this claim, Plaintiff seeks recover of the “pure gap time” wages that he worked and earned, but did not receive.<sup>2</sup> See e.g., *Jernigan v. 1st Stop Recovery, Inc.*, 2017 WL 3682332 (M.D. Fla. Aug. 25, 2017) (citing *Davis v. Abington Memorial Hosp.*, 765 F.3d 236, 244 (3d Cir. 2014); *Botting v. Goldstein*, 2015 WL 10324134 (S.D. Fla. Dec. 21, 2015); *Lundy v. Catholic Health Sys. of Long Island, Inc.*, 711 F. 3d 106 (2d Cir. 2013); and *Monahan v. Cty. Of Chesterfield, Va.*, 95 F.3d 1263, 1280 (4th Cir. 1996).)

40. Plaintiff provided labor and services for Defendant, Selenis Technologies LLC, and it received and accepted the benefits of the labor and services supplied by Plaintiff.

41. Plaintiff expected to be paid a reasonable value for the labor and services provided to this Defendant.

42. Plaintiff provided services on behalf of Defendant, Selenis Technologies LLC, for its business, as requested, and it received and accepted the benefits of the work, efforts, and labor that Plaintiff provided.

---

<sup>2</sup> *Thrower v. Peach County, Georgia, Bd. of Educ.*, 2010 WL 4536997, at \*4 (M.D. Ga. Nov. 2, 2010) (“In the wage and hour world, this time between scheduled hours and overtime hours is known as ‘pure gap time’.”)

43. Defendant, Selenis Technologies LLC, was unjustly enriched in that it failed and refused to make payment to Plaintiff for the benefits conferred upon it approximately the last 28 weeks that he worked for it.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff, Rocky Rafael Fernandez Navarro, demands the entry of a judgment in his favor and against Defendant, Selenis Technologies LLC, after trial by jury, for all breach of contract damages suffered, plus pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, costs and attorneys' fees incurred in this matter pursuant to Fla. Stat. §448.08, and to award such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff, Rocky Rafael Fernandez Navarro, demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted this 5th day of December 2022,

s/Toussaint Cummings, Esq.  
Toussaint Cummings, Esq. (119877)  
[toussaint@fairlawattorney.com](mailto:toussaint@fairlawattorney.com)  
FAIRLAW FIRM  
135 San Lorenzo Ave  
Suite 770  
Coral Gables, FL 33146  
Tel: 305.230.4884  
*Counsel for Plaintiff*